



GLI®

GLI Europe BV

Satellietbaan 12
2181 MH Hillegom
The Netherlands
Tel +31(0)252 529 838
Fax +31(0)252 529 608
www.gaminglabs.com

Chamber of Commerce
Leiden n. 28117769
VAT Identification number
NL 8184.73.393.B01



This Report is only intended for recipients authorized by GLI. By accepting this report the recipient acknowledges and agrees to all of the "terms and conditions" set forth below. If the recipient does not agree to all of such terms and conditions, GLI withdraws the certification or analysis established by this report and the recipient must immediately return to GLI all copies of this report and make no reference to this report for any purpose at any time.

Worldwide Locations

World Headquarters
Lakewood, New Jersey

U.S. Regional Offices
Colorado
Nevada

International Offices
GLI Africa
GLI Asia
GLI Australia Pty Ltd
GLI Austria GmbH
GLI Europe BV
GLI Italy
GLI South America

Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246
Date	12 September 2016

**Issuing
Laboratory**

GLI Europe B.V.

**Evaluating
Laboratory**

GLI Europe B.V.

Recipient

PragmaticPlay Ltd.
Suite 19, 4th Floor
Valletta Buildings
South Street,
Valletta - VLT 1103
Malta

**Tested against
Requirements**

Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards (July 2015).
Testing Strategy for Compliance with Remote Gambling and Software
Technical Standards (First Published August 2009 – Updated May 2016)

Jurisdiction

UK Remote

Manufacturer

PragmaticPlay Ltd.
Suite 19, 4th Floor
Valletta Buildings
South Street,
Valletta - VLT 1103
Malta

Submitter

PragmaticPlay Ltd.
Suite 19, 4th Floor
Valletta Buildings
South Street,
Valletta - VLT 1103
Malta

Product Name

PragmaticPlay RNG

**Description of the
Product Tested**

rng.jar Version 2.0
As requested per manufacturer's letter received 5th September 2016.

Evaluation Period

7th September 2016 / 7th September 2016

Result

Pass (See Comments and Conditions on page 2)

Sections

- Comments/Conditions
- Hardware Product Details
- Software Product Details
- Applied Tests
- Product Characteristics
- RNG Analysis
- Terms and Conditions

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 1 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246
Date	12 September 2016

Comments/Conditions

Comments

As from 1st July 2006, it is the manufacturer's responsibility to ensure that their product is RoHS compliant with current EU directives.

Verify+ by Kobetron™ has been used to generate the signatures for the identified Key File.

This Report is issued for the evaluation of the RNG only and covers the game ranges supplied in the Report.

Conditions

The tested RNG may only be used in connection to games, which call the RNG with numbers within the ranges as specified in this Report.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 2 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246
Date	12 September 2016

Hardware Product Details

There are no Hardware Product Details applicable to this Report.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 3 of 18

GLI

Africa • Asia • Australia • Europe • North America • South America
www.gaminglabs.com

CERTIFICATION DOCUMENT



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

Software Product Details

Product ID	Media Type	Function	Pos.	Size	SHA-1 Signature	RTP%	Report Number
rng.jar ②	N/A	RNG	N/A	8KB	B33E33DA 96C37C0E B2D02B3F BF439685 FCDA876C	N/A	①

① The tests performed are listed in the Applied Tests section of this Report.

② Version 2.0.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 4 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

Applied Tests

Product ID	Test Performed	Reference N°	Results	Additional Details
rng.jar	Random Number Generator (RNG) Analysis	WI-MA-006	Pass	Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 5 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

Product Characteristics

Product ID	Characteristics
rng.jar	Random Number Generator that produces outcomes for multiple games. The version of this jar file is Version 2.0.

Tested by: Margit de Kever

Reviewed by: Michael Meeuwisse

Technical Evaluation authorized by:

John van Schaijk
Technical Director

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 6 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE PRAGMATICPLAY RNG

The intent of this Report is to indicate that **Gaming Laboratories International, LLC (GLI)** has completed its evaluation of the PragmaticPlay random number generator (RNG), Version 2.0, provided by PragmaticPlay Ltd.

SECTION I – SCOPE OF TESTING

PragmaticPlay Ltd. submitted the required materials to GLI in order to conduct a random number generator analysis. The scope of this analysis was limited to software verification, source code review and data analysis. The RNG was tested for its ability to randomly produce outcomes for multiple games.

The PragmaticPlay RNG was evaluated against the RNG-specific requirements of the following technical standards:

- Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards (July 2015).
- Testing Strategy for Compliance with Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards (First Published August 2009 – Updated May 2016).

SECTION II – SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

Verify+ by Kobetron™ signatures for the PragmaticPlay RNG are as follows:

File	Version	Type	Signature
rng.jar	2.0	CDCK	316B
		SHA-1	B33E33DA96C37C0EB2D02B3FBF439685FCDA876C
		MD5	BF56D0F3B830FD24E8B0FDD16AED329A

Table 1. Digital Signatures

SECTION III – SOURCE CODE REVIEW

PragmaticPlay Ltd. submitted appropriate documentation and full source code which pertains to the generation of random numbers. GLI reviewed the source code provided by tracing the path of the RNG application from the initiation of the draw to the selected output of random numbers. GLI inspected the source code, where practicable, in an attempt to find any undisclosed switches or parameters having a possible influence on randomness and fair play. GLI assessed the ability of the RNG to produce all numbers within the desired range.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 7 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

SECTION IV – DATA ANALYSIS

The game configuration and parameters for the data obtained and tested are listed in Table 2. GLI performed a data format check on each data set listed in order to confirm that the game parameters were correctly represented in the data analyzed. A complete listing of the individual tests applied to each data set can be found in Appendix A.

A set of numbers is said to be drawn *with replacement* if a number can be selected multiple times within the same draw. A set of numbers is said to be drawn *without replacement* if a number can only be selected once within the same draw.

Data Set	Range	Positions	Replacement	Draws
Test Case 1	[2]	1	N/A	1,000,000
Test Case 2	[4]	1	N/A	5,000,000
Test Case 3	[6]	1	N/A	5,000,000
Test Case 4	[6]	2	Yes	5,000,000
Test Case 5	[6]	3	Yes	5,000,000
Test Case 6	[10]	9	Yes	10,000,000
Test Case 7	[16]	1	N/A	20,000,000
Test Case 8	[24]	5	Yes	20,000,000
Test Case 9	[32]	3	Yes	50,000,000
Test Case 10	[37]	1	N/A	50,000,000
Test Case 11	[38]	1	N/A	50,000,000
Test Case 12	[45]	6	No	50,000,000
Test Case 13	[52]	52	No	50,000,000
Test Case 14	[59]	6	No	50,000,000
Test Case 15	[64]	5	Yes	50,000,000
Test Case 16	[75]	75	No	50,000,000
Test Case 17	[80]	20	No	100,000,000
Test Case 18	[104]	104	No	20,000,000
Test Case 19	[167]	1	N/A	200,000,000
Test Case 20	[208]	208	No	10,000,000
Test Case 21	[256]	8	Yes	200,000,000
Test Case 22	[312]	312	No	10,000,000
Test Case 23	[416]	416	No	5,000,000
Test Case 24	[512]	5	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 25	[773]	3	Yes	1,000,000,000
Test Case 26	[1000]	5	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 27	[1289]	3	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 28	[1500]	5	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 29	[2000]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000

Table 2. Game Parameters

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 8 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

SECTION IV – DATA ANALYSIS (continued)

Data Set	Range	Positions	Replacement	Draws
Test Case 30	[2500]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000
Test Case 31	[3989]	3	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 32	[5000]	5	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 33	[6000]	7	Yes	200,000,000
Test Case 34	[8192]	5	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 35	[10000]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000
Test Case 36	[10513]	3	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 37	[12000]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000
Test Case 38	[15000]	5	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 39	[16384]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000
Test Case 40	[20000]	20,000	No	100,000
Test Case 41	[25000]	9	Yes	200,000,000
Test Case 42	[27000]	3	Yes	500,000,000
Test Case 43	[29207]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000
Test Case 44	[30000]	10	No	200,000,000
Test Case 45	[4294967296]	1	N/A	3,000,000

Table 2. Game Parameters (continued)

For a summary of the statistical tests applied to each data set, see *Appendix A*. For a description of the overall test methodology and a description of each test used, see *Appendix B*.

Overall, the RNG passed the battery of tests for each configuration at the 95%, 98% and 99% confidence levels.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 9 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246
Date	12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

SECTION V - SUMMARY

Overall Evaluation of the Random Number Generator.

GLI's conclusion based upon the tests applied to the PragmaticPlay RNG data is that this random number generator has exhibited random behavior and is suitable for the applications as described herein. This testing applies to all general use of the RNG for all typical games that use ranges up to and including 30,000, as well as 20,000 selections for data drawn without replacement. Any ranges used outside of these would require additional testing. If a game utilizes a different range or a different number of selections from the included ranges, the RNG should be resubmitted to test that set of parameters.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 10 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

APPENDIX A: Statistical Test Summary

Data Set	Range	Positions	Replacement	Draws	Tests Names													
					Runs	Serial Corr.	Interplay Corr.	Adj. Max-Min	Adj. High-Low	Adj. Blocks	Coupon	Duplicates	Overlaps	Permutation	Tot. Dist.	Tot. Dist. by Pos.	Count of Counts	DieHard
Test Case 1	[2]	1	N/A	1,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X			
Test Case 2	[4]	1	N/A	5,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X			
Test Case 3	[6]	1	N/A	5,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X			
Test Case 4	[6]	2	Yes	5,000,000	X	X	X	X			X	X	X		X	X		
Test Case 5	[6]	3	Yes	5,000,000	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X		
Test Case 6	[10]	9	Yes	10,000,000	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X		
Test Case 7	[16]	1	N/A	20,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X			
Test Case 8	[24]	5	Yes	20,000,000	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X		
Test Case 9	[32]	3	Yes	50,000,000	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X		
Test Case 10	[37]	1	N/A	50,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X			
Test Case 11	[38]	1	N/A	50,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X			
Test Case 12	[45]	6	No	50,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Test Case 13	[52]	52	No	50,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Test Case 14	[59]	6	No	50,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Test Case 15	[64]	5	Yes	50,000,000	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X		
Test Case 16	[75]	75	No	50,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Test Case 17	[80]	20	No	100,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Test Case 18	[104]	104	No	20,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Test Case 19	[167]	1	N/A	200,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X			
Test Case 20	[208]	208	No	10,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		
Test Case 21	[256]	8	Yes	200,000,000	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X		
Test Case 22	[312]	312	No	10,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		
Test Case 23	[416]	416	No	5,000,000	X	X	X					X		X	X			
Test Case 24	[512]	5	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X	X		
Test Case 25	[773]	3	Yes	1,000,000,000	X	X									X			
Test Case 26	[1000]	5	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X	X	X	
Test Case 27	[1289]	3	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X	X	X	
Test Case 28	[1500]	5	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X	X	X	
Test Case 29	[2000]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X		X	
Test Case 30	[2500]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X		X	
Test Case 31	[3989]	3	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X		X	
Test Case 32	[5000]	5	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X	X	X	
Test Case 33	[6000]	7	Yes	200,000,000	X	X	X								X	X	X	
Test Case 34	[8192]	5	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X	X	X	
Test Case 35	[10000]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X		X	
Test Case 36	[10513]	3	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X	X	X	

Table A-1. Tests Applied

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 11 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

APPENDIX A: Statistical Test Summary

					Tests Names													
Data Set	Range	Positions	Replacement	Draws	Runs	Serial Corr.	Interplay Corr.	Adj. Max-Min	Adj. High-Low	Adj. Blocks	Coupon	Duplicates	Overlaps	Permutation	Tot. Dist.	Tot. Dist. by Pos.	Count of Counts	DieHard
Test Case 37	[12000]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000	X	X					X	X	X		X		X	
Test Case 38	[15000]	5	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X		X	
Test Case 39	[16384]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000	X	X						X	X		X		X	
Test Case 40	[20000]	20,000	No	100,000	X	X	X								X			
Test Case 41	[25000]	9	Yes	200,000,000	X	X	X					X			X	X	X	
Test Case 42	[27000]	3	Yes	500,000,000	X	X	X					X			X	X	X	
Test Case 43	[29207]	1	N/A	2,000,000,000	X	X						X	X		X		X	
Test Case 44	[30000]	10	No	200,000,000	X	X	X						X	X	X	X		
Test Case 45	[4294967296]	1	N/A	3,000,000														X

Table A-1. Tests Applied (continued)



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

B.1 Definitions. The following terms apply to the below test descriptions. Randomness Device or Random Number Generator (RNG) output may be collected multiple numbers at a time. Each set of numbers is called a *draw*. Each individual number has a particular order within the draw. This is referred to as the number *position*.

B.2 Distribution Comparisons. Many of the tests compare an observed numerical distribution with an expected distribution. Unless otherwise specified, this is done by means of a statistical chi-square goodness-of-fit test. The value chi-square is computed in the standard way. If k is a possible value, o_k is the observed count of that value, and e_k is the expected count:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_k \frac{(o_k - e_k)^2}{e_k}$$

In the case where expected counts are too small for accurate use of the above formula, values are 'binned' together to ensure an appropriate minimum expected count. The resultant value for chi-square is compared against the distribution for the appropriate number of degrees of freedom. Unusually high (distribution mismatch) or unusually low (insufficient randomness) chi-square values can be causes for data failure.

B.3 Meta-testing. Evaluation of groups of p -values may include a meta-test for extremity of high or low p -values, a meta-test for frequency of high or low p -values, and a meta-test for uniformity of p -values, as appropriate.

B.4 Confidence Level. The statistical tests conducted by GLI are done at a particular *confidence level*. Common confidence levels used include 95%, 98% and 99%, depending on jurisdictional requirements, and intended use of the RNG. High confidence level testing has low risk of mistakenly failing a good RNG, but higher risk of passing a bad RNG. Lower confidence level testing has increased power of detecting bad RNGs, while also increasing the risk of false failures of good RNGs. Specifically, the confidence level represents the probability that an ideal source of randomness would pass the testing. If an RNG passes statistical tests at a given confidence level, passage at all *higher* confidence levels is implied.

B.5 Tests. Some tests are only applicable to certain types of data. Some tests may be applied only to a portion of the data. Some tests may require that the data be parsed, binned, or otherwise transformed, as necessitated by data format.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 13 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246
Date	12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

Adjacency Blocks:

For each draw, the data is first sorted. Then the amount of contiguous blocks of numbers is counted. These statistics are then compared against the expected.

For example, if a draw consists of the numbers:

1, 5, 4, 2, 6, 9,

The data would be sorted and separated into blocks:

1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9

The resulting statistic would be 3.

Adjacency High-Low:

For each draw, the number of local extrema ('highs' and 'lows') in the data is recorded and compared with the expected distribution. These are also referred to as 'turning points'.

For example, if a draw consists of the numbers:

1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 9,

There would be one local maximum (7) and one local minimum (2). The resulting statistic would be 2.

Adjacency Max-Min:

For each draw, the difference between the maximum and minimum values is calculated and recorded. This is compared with the expected theoretical distribution.

For example, if a draw consists of the numbers:

2, 3, 6, 7, 4,

The resulting statistic would be 5, the difference between the maximum value of 7 and the minimum value of 2.

Count of Counts:

The Count of Counts test first counts the occurrences of each value in each position of the data. These counts are then tallied and compared with the expected distribution of counts for the draw size and range of values.

Coupon Collector's:

The Coupon Collector's Test is applied positionally. The data is parsed until all possible values have been observed, then the number of values checked is recorded and the count is restarted. This is compared with the expected distribution.

For example, if the set of all possible values is $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and the first position of each draw is

1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2, . . . ,

Then all values are observed in the first position by the fifth draw. All values are then observed within the next 3 draws, so the first two statistics for the first position would be 5 and 3.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 14 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246
Date	12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

DieHard:

The DieHard Battery of Tests is a standard assessment of the randomness in raw outcomes generated from an RNG. The collection, designed by George Marsaglia, tests for a variety of patterns in the individual binary bits of RNG output. GLI uses a custom implementation to conduct DieHard testing.

Duplicates:

The Duplicates Test counts the number of times a draw is exactly duplicated in the data. In the case that a particular draw is repeated more than twice, every possible way to generate a duplicate is counted. This is compared against the theoretical distribution to verify that the number of duplicate draws falls within expected bounds.

For example, consider the dataset consisting of the following draws of two numbers each.

- a) {1, 3}
- b) {4, 1}
- c) {1, 3}
- d) {1, 3}
- e) {4, 1}
- f) {3, 1}

The duplicate pairs are (a, c) , (a, d) , (c, d) , and (b, e) for a total of 4 duplicates. (f) is not counted as a duplicate since the draw must match in order as well as values.

Interplay Correlation:

The Interplay Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between different positions of the same draw. For each pair of positions, statistical correlation is calculated as in the Serial Correlation Test. In the case of without replacement data, an adjustment is made to account for the expected resulting negative correlation.

Overlaps:

The Overlaps Test compares consecutive draws for overlapping values. The number of overlapping values is recorded for each pair of draws. This observed distribution of overlaps is then compared against the expected distribution.

For example, if the following draws are observed consecutively,

- a) {1, 4, 5, 6}
- b) {4, 1, 7, 6}

The number of overlaps would be 3, representing the values 1, 4 and 6.



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N° 2016PPL002RNG246

Date 12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

Permutation:

The Permutation Test is a test applicable to data that represents a reordering of numbers. Each draw can be considered as a permutation of the original ordering. Every permutation can be decomposed into disjoint cycles, which represent the possible positions a number would occupy if the same permutation is applied repeatedly.

For each draw, three statistics are collected based on the cycle decomposition:

- The number of cycles.
- The size of the smallest cycle.
- The size of the largest cycle.

Each of these statistics generates a distribution of observations which are compared with their respective expected distributions.

For example, if the following draw were observed as a reordering of the numbers from 1 to 6,

1, 3, 5, 4, 2, 6

The cyclic decomposition would be (1)(2 3 5)(4)(6). 1, 4, and 6 remain in their original positions, so they form their own cycles.

The values 2, 3, and 5 are shuffled, so they form a single cycle together. The total number of cycles is 4, the smallest cycle has size 1, and the largest cycle has size 3.

Runs:

The Wald-Wolfowitz Runs Test is applied to each position within the draw. A center is established, typically the data median, and the number of 'runs' above and below the center are tallied. Values exactly equal to the center are discarded. This is compared to the expected distribution, which depends on the number of values above and below the center.

For example, if the numbers drawn at a particular position were:

2, 3, 1, 5, 4, 7, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 6, 7, 3, 5

and the established center were the data median of 3, the data would be parsed for runs above 3 and runs below 3.

2, 3, 1, 5, 4, 7, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 6, 7, 3, 5

This would be counted as 4 runs.

Serial Correlation:

The Serial Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between consecutive draws of the same position. For each position, the sample Pearson correlation coefficient is calculated. If X represents the first number, and Y the number that follows, then the coefficient is

$$r = \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{s_X s_Y}$$

where s denotes the sample standard deviation.

The coefficients are used to generate a p -value for each position.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 16 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246
Date	12 September 2016

RNG Analysis

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

Total Distribution:

The Total Distribution Test is a simple tally of all observed values throughout the data. This is compared with the expected distribution. Typically the expected distribution is a uniform distribution. In the case of unequal weighting of values, an appropriate discrete distribution is used.

Total Distribution by Position:

The Total Distribution by Position Test tallies the observed distribution of values for each position within the draw. Each of these distributions is then compared with the expected.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 17 of 18



Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246
Date	12 September 2016

Terms and Conditions

This Report is issued solely for the benefit of the Client for use only for and limited to the specific jurisdiction or standards referenced in the Report. This Report may not be relied upon for any reason by any person or entity other than the Client including, but not necessarily limited to, the manufacturer or developer of the items, a non-GLI Laboratory, or a Regulator not named in the Report ("a Third Party").

Any Report produced by GLI is proprietary to GLI and the Client, because it contains confidential information of commercial value, the exposure of which to third parties could adversely affect both GLI and the Client. Accordingly, such confidential information is supplied in confidence, on the strict condition that no part of it will be reprinted or reproduced or transmitted to any parties external to the original contract without the prior written approval of the Parties. In particular, it will not be exposed to any person or organization which may be in competition with any of the Parties without the prior written approval of that Party. The testing performed by GLI is proprietary to GLI and/or various regulators. No third party may use, rely or refer to a GLI Evaluation Report, Test Report, Certification Document or test results without written permission of GLI and the respective regulator. Notwithstanding the above, the Parties may disclose confidential information if required to do so by regulatory agencies, pursuant to the laws and regulations of an applicable jurisdiction or by an order of a properly designated Court of Law in a relevant jurisdiction. However, in either case the Parties agree to immediately notify the other party of such a request.

Notwithstanding the above, any regulator may reprint, reproduce and transmit any document or information to any party that the regulator, in their sole discretion, deems appropriate.

This Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the approval of GLI Europe and the Recipient(s).

Any certification established by this Report applies exclusively to tests conducted on the specific items submitted, by the manufacturer or any other party, which are described on page one of this Report. It is the responsibility of the manufacturer and/or developer of the items submitted to apply for, obtain and maintain all necessary gaming licensure in each jurisdiction in which they do business, including state and tribal jurisdictions, where applicable. Any electrostatic test methods used by GLI Europe are intended only to simulate techniques observed in the field being used to attempt to disrupt the integrity of Electronic Gaming Devices. During the course of the testing, GLI Europe checks for marks, symbols or documents indicating that a device has undergone product safety or RoHS compliance testing, if required. GLI Europe also performs a cursory review of information accompanying the items submitted, where possible and when provided, for evidence that the items have undergone compliance testing for Electromagnetic Interference (EMI), Radio Frequency Interference (RFI), Magnetic Interference, Liquid Spills, Power Fluctuations, Electrostatic Immunity, Electromagnetic Compatibility and Environmental conditions. Compliance with any such regulations related to the aforementioned testing is the sole responsibility of the manufacturer and/or developer of the items submitted; GLI Europe accepts no responsibility, makes no representations and disclaims any liability with respect to all such non-gaming testing. Details of the testing methods used, excluded testing, and actual data showing the testing results are available to the Recipient(s) upon written request.

GLI EUROPE WARRANTS TO THE RECIPIENT THAT ALL SERVICES PROVIDED BY GLI EUROPE HEREUNDER HAVE BEEN PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ESTABLISHED AND RECOGNIZED TESTING PROCEDURES AND WITH REASONABLE CARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS. GLI EUROPE DOES NOT MAKE, AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS, ALL OTHER WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY WARRANTIES OF NON-INFRINGEMENT, MERCHANTABILITY, SUITABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. WITHOUT LIMITING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD THE CERTIFICATION ESTABLISHED BY THIS REPORT BE CONSTRUED TO IMPLY ANY ENDORSEMENT OR WARRANTY REGARDING THE FUNCTIONALITY, QUALITY OR PERFORMANCE OF THE SUBJECT HARDWARE OR SOFTWARE, AND NO PERSON OR PARTY SHALL STATE OR IMPLY ANYTHING TO THE CONTRARY. THE LIABILITY AND OBLIGATIONS OF GLI EUROPE HEREUNDER, AND THE REMEDY OF THE RECIPIENT, UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE LIMITED TO, AT GLI EUROPE'S OPTION, REPLACEMENT OF THE SERVICES PROVIDED OR THE REFUND BY GLI EUROPE OF ANY MONIES RECEIVED BY IT FOR THE SERVICES PROVIDED. IN NO EVENT SHALL GLI EUROPE BE RESPONSIBLE TO THE RECIPIENT OR ANY THIRD PARTY FOR ANY CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL, DIRECT, INDIRECT OR SPECIAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION DAMAGES FOR LOST PROFITS OR REVENUE, BUSINESS INTERRUPTION, OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, EVEN IF GLI EUROPE HAD BEEN ADVISED OF THE POTENTIAL FOR SUCH DAMAGES AND WHETHER SUCH DAMAGES ARISE IN CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE, TORT, UNDER STATUTE, IN EQUITY, AT LAW OR OTHERWISE. ALL RIGHTS AND REMEDIES OF THIRD PARTIES RELATING TO PRODUCTS AND SERVICES THAT ARE THE SUBJECT OF THE CERTIFICATION ESTABLISHED BY THIS REPORT SHALL BE THE EXCLUSIVE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE RECIPIENT AND GLI EUROPE EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ANY LIABILITY WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH THIRD PARTY RIGHTS AND REMEDIES. GLI EUROPE AND THE RECIPIENT ACKNOWLEDGE AND AGREE THAT THE SERVICES PROVIDED BY GLI EUROPE HEREUNDER COULD NOT BE RENDERED BY GLI EUROPE UNDER THE TERMS PROVIDED HEREIN WITHOUT AN INCREASE IN COST IF GLI EUROPE WAS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE ANY WARRANTIES IN ADDITION TO, OR IN LIEU OF, OR WAS REQUIRED TO ASSUME ANY LIABILITY IN EXCESS OF, THE FOREGOING.

If you should have any questions regarding this information, please feel free to contact our office.

Sincerely,
GLI EUROPE BV

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 18 of 18



Africa • Asia • Australia • Europe • North America • South America
www.gaminglabs.com

CERTIFICATION DOCUMENT